

Appl. No. 09/807,575
Amdt. dated Jul. 11, 2005
Reply to Office action of Jun. 24, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Restriction/Election

The Office considered the claims of the present application to be directed to patentably distinct inventions. Specifically, the Examiner required election of a single invention among alleged inventions I-IX. The applicant disagrees. Nevertheless, the applicant elects without traverse invention I, reading on claims 1-6. Claims 7-16 are withdrawn without prejudice.

REQUEST FOR ALLOWANCE

Claims 1-6 are pending in this application. The applicant requests allowance of all pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,

RUTAN & TUCKER

By 

Martin Fessenmaier, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 46,697

Tel.: (714) 641-5100